

Underride Guards Fact Sheet

The federal government should require all trucks and trailers to be equipped with energy-absorbing rear, side, and front underride guards to protect car occupants from underride crashes.

For over 10 years, NTSB has recommended side front and rear underride guards in CMVs.

WITHOUT UNDERRIDE GUARDS WITH UNDERRIDE GUARDS



Source: GAO and Insurance Institute for Highway Safety. | GAO-19-264

REAR UNDERRIDE CRASHES

NHTSA reported that large truck rear impacts comprised nearly 20 percent of fatal two vehicle collisions between large trucks and passenger vehicles in 2019. IIHS crash tests demonstrated that the rear underride guards mandated for trailers by NHTSA in 1998 performed poorly, and available underride guards that far exceed the proposed force requirement by up to 70 percent.

Since 2017, the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) has been testing rear underride guards to a higher standard than required by the federal government. To achieve the TOUGHGUARD award from IIHS, the truck rear underride guard must prevent underride in three crash test scenarios — full-width, 50 percent overlap, and 30 percent overlap — using a midsize car traveling at 35 mph into the back of a semitrailer. As of today, nine North American trailer manufacturers, including the eight largest, have earned the TOUGHGUARD award recognition.

SIDE UNDERRIDE CRASHES

NHTSA has reported that large truck side impacts comprised 15 percent of fatal two-vehicle collisions between large trucks and passenger vehicles during 2019.⁵ One reason why collisions with the sides of tractor-trailers are hazardous is that there is a large area of the trailer where underride may occur during these collisions. In addition, bicyclists and pedestrians are particularly vulnerable to side underride interactions because of their size and the lack of protection. As the length of a truck increases, so does the size of the blind spot area.

IIHS has also conducted two tests of a side underride guard. The AngelWing guard, made by Airflow Deflector Inc., succeeded in preventing a midsize car traveling 35 miles-per-hour (MPH) from going underneath the side of the trailer. A subsequent test showed it also prevented underride at 40 MPH.⁶



- 3. https://www.iihs.org/topics/large-trucks/truck-underride
- 4. Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS). (2011). "Potential Benefits of Underride Guards in Large Truck Side Crashes." By Matthew L. Brumbelow. Received from http://www-nrd.nhtsa.dot.gov/pdf/esv/esv22/22ESV-000074.pdf.

5. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. (2021, October). "Traffic Safety Facts: Large Trucks, 2019." Washington, DC: US Department of Transportation. Retrieved from https://www.fmcsa.dot.gov/sites/fmcsa.dot.gov/files/2021-10/Large%20Truck%20and%20Bus%20Crash%20Facts%202019.pdf

6.https://www.iihs.org/topics/large-trucks#truck-underride

7.https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-19-264





Underride Guards Fact Sheet

CMV Truck Underride Recommendations in the U.S. Over Time

1953

The Bureau of Motor Carriers (now the FMCSA) issues the first federal underride standard, which requires heavy trucks, trailers, and semitrailers to be equipped with a rear-end device designed to prevent underride. It has no strength requirements.

2012

IIHS published a study that illustrates how side underride guards would reduce injury in three fourths of crashes.

197

An Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) crash test illustrates the ineffectiveness of 1953 rear underride guard. A Senate hearing leads to new calls for stronger underride protections, and illustrates the inadequacies of existing requirements.

NTSB issues recommendations to correct safety vulnerabilities involving tractor-trailers, which includes equipping tractor-trailers with side guards and improved rear guards.

199

Study illustrates the discrepancies in The FARS underride fatality count when compared to the NHTSA database, highlighting that more people are dying from underride than are being recorded. TRB publishes a study that highlights the discrepancy in underride reporting between FARS and the National Accident Sampling System Crashworthiness Data System.

NTSB recommends that regulators develop performance standards for side and front underride protection systems to improve highway vehicle crash compatibility with passenger vehicles.

The University of West Virginia published a study that 2002 illustrates how underride guards are not low enough to the ground to create hang up problems for tractortrailers on railroad crossings and dock slopes.

2017

IIHS tests side underride guards at 35 mph, and illustrates the dramatic impact side guards have in preventing serious injury and death. IIHS test sees the success of a sideguard preventing a midsized car from sliding underneath a trailer at 40 mph.

IIHS calls for the requirement of front and side underride guards, as well as improved rear guard requirements in its testimony to US House Committee on Energy and Commerce.

An evaluation of U.S. rear underride guards meeting federal requirements shows that these protections still allow for severe passenger vehicle underride, often resulting in serious or fatal injury.

IIHS crash test study demonstrates that federal

underride safety standards can fail in relatively

low-speed crashes.

2019

2021

2022

GAO Report includes multiple underride guard recommendations (one which remain not fully resolved) to DOT: create standardized definition of underride crash, include as a recommended crash investigation report data field and to provide information to state and local police on how to identify and record underride crashes.

Congress passes the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA), which requires an improved rear underride guard performance standard, study investigating side underride guard crash protections and the creation of a DOT Advisory Committee on Underride Protections.

NHTSA releases its IIJA-mandated rear underride guard rule, dropping the requirement to ensure guards can withstand a 30% overlap crash. The new rule is estimated to only apply to 6% of CMVs and is weaker than industry supported **TOUGHGUARD** standards.

For nearly 70 years DOT has been aware of the fatal risk of underride crashes and hardly taken action to prevent these horrific crashes from occurring.

Congress MUST force NHTSA to re-issue rear underride guard standards AND require side and front guards.