TRUCK SAFETY COALITION

★ HELPING FAMILIES & SAVING LIVES ★ FOR OVER 30 YEARS

The Facts on Longer Trucks

Proposals to Allow Longer Trucks on Our Nation's Roadways Will Jeopardize Safety, Further Damage Our Infrastructure, and Disregard Public Opinion on Truck Size

Thirty-three-foot double-trailer trucks are 10 feet longer than the existing double configurations they would replace and are 17 feet longer than the 53-foot single-trailer trucks on the road today. A mandate by Congress for these longer trucks would override the laws of most states. Moreover, public opinion polls show that the American public has consistently affirmed their overwhelming support for truck size limitations. A nationwide survey conducted by Harper Polling in January 2015 found that 76 percent of respondents oppose longer and heavier trucks. This reaffirmed findings from a public opinion poll conducted by Lake Research Partners in May 2013 that found 68 percent of Americans oppose heavier trucks and 88 percent of Americans do not want to pay higher taxes for the damage caused by heavier trucks.

Longer Trucks Will Be More Dangerous to Motorists, Motorcyclists, Bicyclists and Pedestrians

- Every year on average 4,000 people are killed in truck crashes in the U.S. and around 100,000 are injured. 2015 U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) data reveals fatality figures have increased for the sixth year in a row—a 20 percent increase in truck crash deaths since 2009. More staggering, the number of truck crashes and the people injured in these crashes has increased by 45 percent and 57 percent, respectively, in the same amount of time.¹
- The annual cost to society from crashes involving Commercial Motor Vehicles (CMVs) is estimated to be over \$112 billion.ⁱⁱ
- Nearly all of the large multi-trailer combination trucks, as well as single unit trucks, examined in the 2000 Comprehensive Truck Size and Weight Study had worse roll stability, and in some instances by wide margins, than the standard five-axle semitrailer combination loaded to 80,000 lbs.ⁱⁱⁱ
- A study conducted by the Multimodal Transportation & Infrastructure Consortium (MTIC) shows that double-trailer configurations have an 11 percent higher fatal crash rate than single-trailer trucks.^{iv}

Longer Trucks Compromise Operating Characteristics

- As truck length increases, passing and merging become more difficult—increasing the odds of failure to pass.
- Increasing 28-foot double-trailer trucks to 33-foot double-trailer trucks results in:
 - o a 33% increase in low-speed off-tracking, and
 - o a 22 feet longer stopping distance.vi
 - o This means greater hazards to pedestrians, bicyclists, motorcyclists, and motorists in their path, as well as more damage to infrastructure.

Longer Trucks Will Cause More Damage to Our Fragile Infrastructure

- The Federal Highway Administration estimates that \$143 billion in capital investment would be needed on an annual basis over the next 20 years to significantly improve conditions and performance. vii
- The American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) gave our nation a grade of D+ on our infrastructure. Our roads were graded D and bridges, C+. viii

Longer Trucks Will Result in Increased Costs to Tax Payers

- Unintended Costs Will Result from Longer Trucks
 - Highway hardware costs to assess guard rails, crash pads, rail crossings, etc. and the costs for replacement when assessment determines the hardware is insufficient;
 - Accessory infrastructure costs to assess bridge and roadway ratings and capacity, to produce and install signs and warnings, to make improvements to accommodate larger trucks, to repair pavement torsion

- caused by non-steering axles (also called tire scrubbing), and to maintain roadway and bridge infrastructure at increased rates of wear and damage;
- Truck facilities cost for improvements necessary to accommodate larger trucks, new or modified weight scales, new and modified parking and fuel facilities.
- According to the 2007 Transportation for Tomorrow report, mandated by Congress, heavy trucks are underpaying their fair share for highway use. The report also found that user fee fairness could be achieved through weight-distance taxes and heavy trucks should pay an infrastructure damage fee. Moreover, Heavy Vehicle Use Tax, which only contributes \$1 billion annually to the Highway Trust Fund—had not been changed since the early 1980s. ix

Longer Doubles are Premised on "Junk Science" and Flawed Analysis Conducted by Industry-Funded Research

- The Woodrooffe study, on which many of the safety and efficiency claims for double 33s are based, was produced under contract to Federal Express (FedEx) and ConWay. It contains three serious flaws:
 - o It makes the spurious assumption that two trailers of different lengths (28 v 33 feet) would both be filled to equal weights despite carrying different volumes of freight;
 - It ignores the fact that 33 foot trailers would weigh more when empty than 28 foot trailers, which would
 decrease the calculated efficiency estimates on those portions of trips when operating below capacity or
 empty; and,
 - o It miscalculates the comparative increase in payload (volume) of 33 foot trailers as compared to 28 foot trailers.

Both Law Enforcement Officers and Truck Drivers Consider Longer Trucks More Dangerous

- In the MTIC study, 21 Officers were interviewed and 20 officers indicated "that longer and heavier trucks would be 'more dangerous' because the additional length and weight would add new factors to an already complicated chain of events." "xi
- Likewise, surveyed truck drivers are consistent in their opinion that heavier and/or longer trucks impact safety. Eighty-eight percent believed that a higher use of longer combination vehicles (LCVs) would negatively impact highway safety. xiii

i Large Truck and Bus Crash Facts 2015, FMCSA-RRA-16-021, FMCSA (Nov., 2016); FARS; NASS GES

ii 2016 Pocket Guide to Large Truck and Bus Statistics: Update May 2016, FMCSA, available at

http://ntl.bts.gov/lib/59000/59100/59189/2016 Pocket Guide to Large Truck and Bus Statistics.pdf

iii Comprehensive Truck Size and Weight, US DOT, Aug. 2000, Vol. 3, Chapter 8, p. VIII-12, http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/reports/tswstudy/Vol3-Chapter8.pdf

iv Multimodal Transportation & Infrastructure Consortium (November 21, 2013). "An Analysis of Truck Size and Weight: Phase I – Safety," Executive Summary, available at http://www.mticutc.org/assets/pdf/An_Analysis of Truck Size and Weight - MTIC Logo.pdf.: Memorandum from J. Matthews, Rahall Appalachian Transportation Institute, Sep. 29, 2014

v Hanley, P. F. and D. J. Forkenbrock (2005). "Safety of passing longer combination vehicles on two-lane highways." Transportation research.

vi Technical Reports, MAP-21 Comprehensive Truck Size & Weight Limits Study, FHWA, June 2014, available at

http://ops.fhwa.dot.gov/freight/sw/map21tswstudy/technical_rpts/vol1technicalsummary.pdf

vii 2015 Status of the Nation's Highways, Bridges, and Transit: Conditions and Performance, Chapter 8, FHWA 2015, available at http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/policy/2015cpr viii ASCE Report Card for America's Infrastructure 2017

ix Report of the National Surface Transportation Policy and Revenue Study Commission, Transportation for Tomorrow, Dec. 2007, available at http://www.transportationfortomorrow.com_report/pdf/final_report.pdf

^{*} Woodrooffe, J., De Pont, J., (2011, April 11) Comparative Performance Evaluation of Proposed 33 ft Double Trailers Combinations with Existing 28 ft Double Trailers.

xi 2013 MTIC Study

xii 2013 MTIC Study